

65<sup>th</sup> Undergraduate Senate Meeting  
Tuesday, February 28th, 2006

**I. Call to order/roll call/quorum**

Chairman Ellyn Canfield called to the 4<sup>th</sup> ASOSU Undergraduate Senate meeting of the Winter term to order at 7:01pm. The following Senators were in attendance:

Business

Scott Cowgill  
Jonathan Schmierer  
Robert Wolfer  
Tom Cutsforth

Engineering

Ben Fahlgren  
Allison Jenness - tardy  
Chris Beaman  
Jeremy Da Rosa  
Paul Trout

HHS

Anna Ellingson  
Philip Menagh  
Jodi Herbert  
Kathleen Bonner

Liberal Arts

Mike Moss  
Christopher Beyer  
Niklas Warren - tardy  
Jaimee Colbert  
Erik Hagen  
Jeremy Da Rosa

Science

JC Sanders  
Sean Murray  
Robert Weston  
Chelsea Mayo  
Julien Deveraux III

Ag. Science

Tyler Bradley  
Jonathan Garrett  
Russell Deboodt

Forestry

Matt Bliss

ASOSU VP

Kyla Johnson

MUPC

Ryan Kirkpatrick - tardy

At-Large

Johnny Collins\*

Athletics

Andy Darkins

ISOSU

Katy Schuff

Panhellanic

Kayla Johnson

NAL

Christy Brady

\*Proxy for Johnny Collins: John Meyers

**II. Approval of Minutes and Agenda**

There were no objections to the minutes from the previous ASOSU Undergraduate Senate meeting.

**III. Dan McCarthy**

ASOSU Student Body President, Dan McCarthy, made a brief statement to the ASOSU Undergraduate Senators.

McCarthy: I would like to thank Elly for allowing me to come here. As student leaders, you are all responsible for holding myself to a higher standard. As President, my job and life will have clarity for my decisions. At 1:30am on Friday I was cited for driving under the influence of intoxicants. I was fortunate that nobody was injured or killed. This has caused myself to re-evaluate my life internally. Sorrow is only words. For the remainder of the term I pledge my sobriety. This is a hard lesson because there was too much to

risk and lose while being under the influence. Senators have suggested that I have mandated community service and I have contacted the Partnership for Alcohol on campus to get involved. Please allow me to do this on my own accord. I plead to this body that you will let me do this, whether it does involve speaking to high schools about drinking and driving and Mother against Drunk Driving. The time spent tonight will be to better inform you tonight. The conclusion to this will be something positive for the community and for this campus. I'm sorry for having talking points, but this all came from the heart. With that, I'm here if you have any questions or discussion.

Senator Jonathan Schmierer: We are here today to set a President. We cannot take this matter lightly. I was angered about how you could do this. This incident will ripple through the environment and it is unfavorable either to resign or face the wrath of peers. This event could backlash. As a collective body, removing him will not help his learning curve. We as ASOSU will use this opportunity to rise up together. Envision this: a pair of scissors, if held one way is a weapon; if held another way, it is a tool. We need to rally together and use this as a tool and not a weapon. We as a team of leader on campus should come together and lead this campus. We need to act rationally and carefully.

Senator Christopher Beyer: I agree with what you're saying. The way that he (McCarthy) has been representing is good. I agree that Dan should be doing community service and it is the duty of this body to speak for the students of this campus. I will make it known that we are behind how the students of this university feel. Some of my constituents have mentioned impeachment, though I don't think that that is valid. There is no legislation about appointed officials that have been arrested should be impeached or removed from office. This is something we should do for the instance this year where Athletics had a policy that if one of their football players get arrested are kicked off the team. ASOSU should have a similar one too.

Senator Scott Cowgill: Dan, in exchange with a plan of community service, would you be willing to attempt to teach people about alcohol awareness? It will show of your own desire and we would have satisfaction that you paid for your debt.

McCarthy: I need to be accountable. In addressing Senator Beyer, it would be best for the group that it wasn't mandated and that I'm there (community service) truly for myself and not because I'm told.

Senator Julien Deveraux: I would like to read emails from my constituents. Yesterday, the College of Science sent out an announcement to their constituents and we have received a lot of responses. The first message, which was the most common, was that "being the President of ASOSU is hard and you made a huge mistake. But drinking and driving is not a mistake. He failed to fulfill his responsibilities and does not receive my respect." In another message from a Graduate student, "Dan was irresponsible and he made a mistake. He has expressed his remorse." I think Dan is a great leader and he is incredibly accountable. I don't support removing him from office. There is emergency legislation about censuring him in your packet passed out tonight.

Senator Robert Weston: I agree with Jon and Chris. I have full confidence in Dan as a leader and I suggest that the Senate not act on this tonight. We need more time to find out what our constituents want. This emergency is a tentative bill. We should not be voting on this tonight. We have plenty of time to talk on that later. I have a question of clarification, what is the formal definition of censure?

Chairman Ellyn Canfield: A censure is a formal reprimand on behalf of the student body with no binding consequence.

Senator JC Sanders: Although it may seem a bit brash to be taking action on this tonight, I would like to remind the Senate that we only have two remaining meetings which are going to be consumed with budgets. The Senate meeting next week is essentially a special session, and we cannot do anything but budgets. In regards to Dan's actions, yes you made errors of judgment, but I do not believe impeachment is called for by us at this point. However, I do think that the actions you have taken have represented the student body in a bad way. If the outcome of the incident was other than that turned out, somebody being injured or killed, I think that the implications would be pretty dark and it was by luck that someone was not killed or you yourself could have gotten killed. I would like to say that perhaps a censure might be weak. I'm not calling for impeachment but I would like to urge the Senate to consider these points.

Senator Allison Jenness: Point of clarification. What is the in between of censure and impeachment?

Senator JC Sanders: A censure would be "Bad Dan that was wrong of you". Impeachment would be Senate removing him from office. The in between steps would be a vote of non-confidence. We're not going to remove you, but possibly consider a resignation. A censure with attachments would be saying that you made a mistake, not call a resignation but would like you to formally write an apology to the Barometer and do community service.

Senator Tyler Bradley: I have a question. In order to do anything other than censure Dan, would we need another form of legislation?

Chairman Ellyn Canfield: Yes. The purpose of this issue was discussion for tonight. We have Julien's emergency legislation and we could vote on censure tonight. JC's would have to be voted on in two weeks.

Executive Senator Kyla Johnson: I have two things to say. When Dan first got his DUII, his first words were "Oh my gosh. I made a huge mistake and I let everybody down. There are so many people close to me and I respect and I now feel I'll lose their respect." I have no doubt that he (McCarthy) is 100% sincere. The staff all talked about it and nobody supports what's happened, but we support his leadership. I offer the alternative of not having legislation. The committees have done an evaluation of him and at the end of spring term they will do another evaluation of him. This is something we talked about in Exec and it is the option of this body, with Senate being half of the voice. The group meets every other week or as needed and I believe we should place this in the hands of the Executive committee.

Senator Christopher Beyer: I believe for this body to nothing tonight would be a mistake. We are responsible for our constituents. I am against this incidence and it is a poor reflection on ASOSU. Impeachment is not an option we should take but we seriously need to consider something. We should leave this on the agenda in two weeks from now.

Senator Niklas Warren: I would like to echo what JC said earlier. Impeachment is not necessary but I don't want the severity to be light. There could have been injuries and we need to take a step above censoring.

Senator Jonathan Schmeirer: Our constituents are watching and they expect us to make an action. We are going to have long meetings ahead so we should take action tonight and push through the emergency legislation to censure President McCarthy. I move to hear the legislation.

Senator Christopher Beyer seconded this motion.

Legislation for UGS-65-B-05 to hear this bill was motioned. This motion passes.

Senator JC Sanders: Point of Order. This emergency legislation is listed as a bill. Is it a bill or a resolution?

Chairman Ellyn Canfield: This is a bill.

Senator Julien Deveraux: The bill last year was a bill.

Senator Jonathan Garrett: If we pass this bill, if anybody feels further action needs to be done, could that be done later?

Canfield: We are just hearing this bill.

Senator Julien Deveraux read UGS-65-B-05 out loud. Questions were then taken.

Senator Chris Beaman: If we potentially pass another bill, will the last bullet of this legislation now allow us to do that?

Senator Jonathan Schmeirer: Could we strike that last bullet?

Senator Christopher Beyer moved to pass that. Senator JC Sanders seconded that motion. The motion passes.

Senator Scott Cowgill: I would like to make a friendly amendment to the second bullet.

Senator Julien Deveraux: Can we legislate out of school activities?

Chairman Ellyn Canfield: Yes, we can.

Deveraux: He has indicated that he (McCarthy) doesn't want to be ordered to do community service.

Canfield: Point of information.

Cowgill: As far as the student body reading it, we want Dan to do the community service.

Deveraux: I am open to that.

Senator Robert Weston: I would like to make a friendly amendment to remove myself as a supporter of this bill.

Senator Christopher Beyer: I would like to hear the end of the amendment (addressed to Senator Cowgill).

Cowgill: We could do this during the first meeting of spring term.

Deveraux: I am in approval of that.

Senator JC Sanders: Point of order. Did we strike that last bullet in that resolution?

Chairman Ellyn Canfield: It is only a suggestion.

Sanders: I would like to make a friendly amendment to strike the last bullet of this bill.

Senator Julien Deveraux: Yes.

Senator Sean Murray: The leadership of Dan has been consistent and honest. The burden he now bears is great. He is being punished by both the state and by himself. The appropriate course is to not censure him and it would be more beneficial to support him than to censure him.

Senator JC Sanders: In keeping with those remarks, being as Dan is under such emotional stress, it would seem that though he does require some support from us, I think that at the same time, a vote of no confidence may be called for simply because he

is under emotional stress, it will affect his ability to be a leader. If he is not under emotional stress, then we have a greater problem

Senator Andy Darkins: The way Dan has handled himself shows great leadership. It suggests to me that he can handle a great deal of emotional distress. He has been extremely forthright about this situation. He contacted the core group which is very suggestive that he is capable of being our leader.

Senator Tyler Bradley: I have no argument in fact that the situation was handled as well as it could have been. But besides that, I urge you to ask yourselves how you would view somebody who gets a DUII and their responsibility. I'm not going on past actions and I would like to remain as unbiased as possible, but if a random person gets a DUII, how would you view them? Is that responsible and does that show that that person is capable of fulfilling their position in office? The fact is that the situation is that somebody in office is charged with this. Whether it's something we as Senate can shake our finger at is up to us, whether that something is more or should have more consequences. If that doesn't measure up to the shake of our finger, then it's a disservice to the students. The fact of the matter is that it reflects very poorly on the University and as a Senate, we can either nip that in the butt and get rid of that and prove to the University that we do not condone that behavior. Does the shake of a finger or vote of no confidence prove to the University more? The resolution drafted that could be presented tonight for a vote of no confidence and if that is the case, then there is more options tonight. Don't let this be the reason for passing this alone. We need a just punishment.

Chairman Ellyn Canfield: Are there any copies of your piece of legislation?

Bradley: No, I just have one.

Senator Anna Ellingson: I've heard a lot of views and what was just said. This event doesn't define who Dan is. We've seen how he's lead our campus and I do think that that should be taken into account tonight. How he has handled everything and him staying in his position is in the best interest and we are benefiting from that. Censuring him is unnecessary. He will go out and serve the community. I am not going to vote in favor of this.

Senator Andy Darkins: I would like to respond to Senator Bradley's comments. If we only look at the DUII, then it's worth considering his lack of bad judgment. He was not a stumbling drunk. Dan was cooperating with the police and he was not a complete mess here. If we just look at this as a DUII is more not a blatant judgment.

Senator Tyler Bradley: I understand what you (Senator Darkins) are saying. It was a DUII and maybe it was not the worst case scenario. But the fact of the matter is that he was the President of ASOSU magnifies it. I urge this body to look at this matter objectively and simply put aside the past history of Dan and his ability to lead. It needs to be more than that than the average student at this University. He should be punished. If we do nothing, then that shows that we are relying too much on his past reputation, which is great, but this error in judgment has to be dealt with by this body. We need to look at this objectively rather than subjectively.

Senator Robert Weston: I think that Senator Bradley's comments are an over simplification. Looking at it that way is a complete denial of what Dan really is. It is necessary to look at this objectively, but I don't think that a vote of no confidence is

needed. There are some steps that need to be taken, but I would be happy to hear other legislation.

Senator Kathleen Bonner: I would like to respond to Senator Bradley's comments. It seems contradictory to look at this incident objectively. We simply cannot because we know what he's done for us. It's really difficult to look at objectively and I think it's almost impossible to do.

Senator Tyler Bradley: That's a great point. I mean that the situation is this: the President of ASOSU got's a DUII, but we need to look at this objectively. We need to rule out the friendships and the past of what he's done and we need to take the appropriate actions as well.

Senator Robert Wolfer: I would like to respond to Senator Bradley's comments. I would like to say that to disregard Dan's character is a mistake. Twenty-nine years ago, President Bush was cited for a DUII, but that hasn't had affect on his leadership. We simply cannot disregard Dan's character.

Senator Christopher Beyer: Due to the striking of the third bulleted item, we should be able to add later on. I motion the previous question. Senator Paul Trout seconded this motion. This motion does not pass.

Executive Senator Kyla Johnson: To look at this situation and to not look at Dan's character cannot happen. He's not on paper and that's it. We might have things on our record, but it doesn't depict who we are as a person. Three and a half years of serving Oregon State University needs to be taken into account. Dan goes home and ASOSU goes home with him. That is who he is. Everything needs to be considered with this. My second point is a question for Dan in regards to everybody doing something harsh enough. Sometimes you do have to make the judgment call. Dan, I don't know if the community members wrote to you that don't support your actions, but do support you as a leader.

ASOSU President Dan McCarthy: I've gotten feedback from an abundant amount of administrator, Lieutenant and the themes have been that I've done something horrible. As a community, we'll get through this. They've said that they can't cut ties with me and that we all need to move and do it together. Those are the common themes that I've gotten from this ordeal.

Senator Jonathan Schmierer: Talking about the general population, it is ridiculous to vote no confidence. We are here to represent interest of them and ASOSU. Dan is a great leader and the censure of him will show that we are seriously committed to rules and regulations, but still support Dan and his ability to lead us. I did support this censure, but I think the third bullet shouldn't be struck out because we need to move on after this.

Senator Julien Deveraux: Was a motion to pass not made?

Senator Schmierer: Didn't we strike this after Senator Beyer's friendly amendment?

Chairman Ellyn Canfield: Do you accept the re-statement of the third bullet?

Senator Deveraux: I accept that.

Senator Schmierer: I move to previous question. The motion passes.

A roll call vote took place and the bill passed with 16 votes for, 8 against and 3 abstentions.

#### **IV. Committee Reports**

Senator JC Sanders spoke on behalf of the Student Government committee. Their last meeting was an email meeting with the accomplishment of that meeting being discussed in a bill in front of you tonight (UGS-65-B-03). Our next meeting is tonight after the Senate meeting in MU 209 where we will meet with execs for changes of titles of various positions.

Senator Sean Murray spoke on behalf of the Student Academics and Activities committee. Their next meeting is after tonight's Senate meeting in the Counsel room. During their last meeting they met with the Assistant Dean of College of Counsel. They made a presentation for the Pre-med society. If anybody knows any freshmen, sophomores or juniors interested in leadership, please let me know.

Senator Allison Jenness spoke on behalf of the Appropriations and Budgets committee. They have met a few times and they discussed handouts, one handout on a summation. It should be one or two sheets instead of eight sheets. We will also be meeting after tonight's Senate meeting.

Executive Senator Kyla Johnson spoke on behalf of the Elections committee. They met last week. They made last minute changes after hearing from MUPC and how they want the Elections to run. The candidate info is out tomorrow and here is our poster. Our next meeting is tomorrow, but it is not the last day to file. We will be meeting at 5pm.

Senator Anna Ellingson spoke on behalf of the Student Health Advisory Board committee. The student health budget is tentatively approved the last time. A decision package is also tentatively approved. We have two sub committees, one being to cut marketing out of budgeting and the second, which is a co pay subcommittee.

Senator Paul Trout spoke on behalf of the Educational Activities committee. They had their last meeting before tonight's Senate meeting. They went over six or seven budgets and tomorrow and Thursday they will go over the SIFC budget. We will have another meeting at the end of this week or possibly the beginning of next week.

Senator Jonathan Garrett spoke about the Senate class. They met last Tuesday at Woodstock's Pizza and discussed where Senators in the class will be putting up their profile information. We have all found places for the Senator profiles in their respective colleges. The Senate class will meet one more time before the end of this term.

#### **V. Executive Reports**

Executive Senator Kyla Johnson spoke on behalf of the Executive Reports. They met this morning and talked about the issue of TRF funding. Currently, we are paying \$35,000 for the four squares internet access. Right now, they are looking into other possibilities to do with that money. If there are any ideas, questions, comments or concerns about this, please email myself or any of the other Executive Senators.

#### **VI. New Business**

ASOSU Executive Director of Finance, Matt Tschabold, discussed the course fees and tuition. He read from a handbook/booklet. He was asked by Senators to look into the programmatic resource fees. He needs to stress the importance of this fee and how it could double the cost of education. There is a subcommittee meeting in March, so please, come talk to him soon. Differential tuition is to pay a different rate of tuition depending on a specific program or major. If you look in the Appendix, you will see two graphs for a resident student and a non-resident student. This does not include course fees. We have come to the conclusion that the differential tuition model creates problems. Once you are in college, you cannot be in certain majors. In the long run, we could have a gap in society, where higher classes will be able to get certain degrees, which would later create a bigger and bigger gap. In regards with the Bacc core, with the College of Liberal Arts, they use their funding for Bacc core classes. The College of Liberal Arts receives less funding and because those students in that major don't have certain sections, they have a less expensive major. With state funding, there is not a need for differential tuition. Their contribution is subsidizing differences in costs. When a student does come to Oregon State University and they major whatever they want to major, then they will be starting to use differential tuition.

Regarding the Programmatic resource fee, which they got from the OUS fee book, all the committees set fees. They forwarded a recommendation and discussed this with the President and Vice-President. President Ray made recommendations to OUS and constraints were limited by the state and the Governor. There was a question posed: How do we get Programmatic resource fees and what are they? Looking at the Quarter sheet, there hasn't been a programmatic resource fee rejected. There is no way to check up on money. Accountability is not there on the administrator level. The price of education is going up and we need to make this public. If the trend continues, then tuition will go up. It is really important to look at this. All of these little fees will add up and could raise costs a lot. This needs student approval on this. There is a whole other issue on assessment. If you see him later, please come by and talk about this. It's also a big issue. Although we have no authority over the University charging you, it seems to him that they're not assessing true costs.

**a. UGS-65-R-03- Julien Deveraux, Parking services notification**

Senator Julien Deveraux read the resolution, UGS-65-R-03 out loud.

There were no questions.

Senator Paul Trout moved to approve this resolution and Senator Kathleen Bonner seconded that motion.

The following discussion took place.

Senator Ryan Kirkpatrick: What happens if this resolution passes and what is this going to do?

Senator Julien Deveraux: The resolution passed last time. With this, we would address the administration via a letter to them stating that if they are not giving proper notification for charging us for parking. They need to be more specific and clear. After this, I'm going to head up a presentation.

Senator Christopher Beyer: I want to ask Senator Schmierer how feasible this would be and what the odds are of happening?

Senator Jonathan Schmierer: We will talk about it and probably ask Vincent Blass if this will cost more money and more administrative work. I would encourage people to come to the meeting if they feel more passionate about this issue.

Senator Julien Deveraux: The request will be to change the existing letter.

Senator Schmierer: They will still have a fuss over it, but we'll talk about this.

The resolution, UGS-65-R-03, passes with 26 for, 0 against and 2 against.

#### **b. UGS-65-R-04- Julien Deveraux, Parking citation appeals**

Senator Julien Deveraux read the resolution, UGS-65-R-04, out loud.

There were no questions about this resolution. Senator Allison Jenness motioned to approve this resolution and Senator Jonathan Schmierer seconded that motion.

The following discussion took place.

Senator Julien Deveraux: There will be no more legislation after this.

Executive Senator Kyla Johnson: If you want to work with campus affairs, I'm sure they would love to join you on this.

Senator Jonathan Schmierer: This is a campus affairs task force issue. Currently, the parking committee is supposed to be looking at it. It should be a good way to look and speed up this process.

Senator Paul Trout: I am in support of this. It makes no sense that there are people that don't want to fight parking because we're already getting charged.

Senator Julien Deveraux: I would like to see the Department be more accountable to us. A lot of students brought this to my attention and there needs to be a speed up in the processes.

This resolution, UGS-65-R-04, passes with 28 votes for, 0 against and 0 abstentions.

#### **c. UGS-65-B-03- JC Sanders, Mediation Committee**

Senator JC Sanders read this bill, UGS-65-B-03, out loud. The following questions were asked.

Senator Julien Deveraux: The goal of this is to change the current representation of mediation. This bill is to give the Senate more say in the SIFC matter or to take away power from SIFC?

Senator JC Sanders: It is to give more say to the Senate. The Senate is given a final say in budgetary matters and we should have the deciding vote on the committee that passes the mediated budget back to the Senate.

Senator Robert Weston: If the Senate does have control of the budget, but we don't have means to go where we want, we need to push SIFC.

Senator JC Sanders: If SIFC makes a recommendation to the Senate, we then vote on that recommendation. There is an intermediate step, which is mediation, but this bill is

addressing the second step with Senate and SIFC. The current set up is that it is a 16 person committee, 7 from SIFC, 1 is the VP and the other 8 are from both Senates (Graduate and Undergraduate). The deciding vote is a tie between the Exec and SIFC or the two Senates. It just makes sense for the Senate to hear the mediated vote. We might as well try to iron out so that we don't go back and forth, especially because of deadlines. In this case, the Undergraduate Senate, the Graduate Senate and SIFC will have equal representation.

Senator Ben Fahlgren yielded the floor to Casey Schauffler.

Casey Schauffler: The SIFC bylaws were passed by the Senate and signed by the President. The bylaws' outlines the process for the mediation procedure. The statutes are non-compliance, which have changed last year. The statutes are incorrect with the process. The Senate has no jurisdiction over the mediation process and we cannot limit fee members. SIFC is a committee of the President and the authority lies with the President. SIFC is elected at-large and you, Senators, are elected by your college. I urge you to reject this bill and to refer to the Student Government committee and look at how that process works. Also, please look at the statutes. This was on the table with the bylaws and you as a Senate body already passed this and it's already been signed by the President.

Senator Julien Deveraux motioned to table this issue and Senator Chris Beaman seconded that motion. The motion passes.

#### **d. UGS-65-B-04- Kyla Johnson, Baseball seating**

Executive Senator Kyla Johnson read the bill, UGS-65-B-04, out loud. This bill is a follow up of the last bill passed concerning this issue. This issue has been resolved to everybody's satisfaction.

Senator Paul Trout motioned the approval of this bill and Senator Jonathan Garrett seconded that motion. The following discussion took place.

Senator Scott Cowgill: I am against this bill. We brought Athletics to the table quickly and I don't believe that this is well serving the students for what we've agree with in regards to Athletics. The students will be the first buying customers and the tickets were purchased in advance. The location, though not specifically laid out in the budget, should be in this place. We did come to a quick agreement wit the side bleacher seats and we are still entitled for 500 seats. For this season, we've already paid for seats.

Senator Andy Darkins: This budget was approved based on the Student Advisory Board. I'm in the process of getting that together. We meet once a month to review the budget. In regards with the Athletic Department violating the shared governance policy, the money for the Student Incidental Fees were piled into the general funds and are not into an exact purpose or account.

Senator Russell Deboodt: Athletics has recognized that they were wrong and they took the steps to correct this. We paid for 500 tickets and we now have 800 tickets. I view this as that we came to common ground. We shouldn't withhold their funds any longer. I will vote for this bill.

Senator Scott Cowgill: The money does go into a general fund. They have appropriated with receiving something in return.

Senator JC Sanders: Athletics came to the table quickly when we turned the steam on them.

Senator Russell Deboodt: Most Senators voted for that because Athletics was not in compliance with the shared governance policy. Now we are in no reason to withhold.

There was a motion to vote. This bill passes with 26 votes for, 2 against and 0 abstentions.

**VI. Old Business**  
**a. Campus bicycle project**

Chairman Ellyn Canfield made a proposal to form a subcommittee to work with the guys working with the Campus Bicycle Project. The following Senators volunteered:

Kathleen Bonner  
Ben Fahlgren  
Erik Hagen  
Robert Weston  
Jonathan Schmierer

**VII. Announcements**  
**a. SIFC open hearing Thursday March 2, 7pm in MU 211**

Chairman Ellyn Canfield made the following announcement in regards to the SIFC open hearing. Please inform your constituents to go if they are interested.

Senator Robert Weston: Was there any public advertisement for this?

Senator Jaimee Colbert: Yes, it is in the Barometer.

Chairman Ellyn Canfield: We have voted on a tentative approval on the budgets. Students can come in and voice their opinions.

**VIII. Gallery Comments**

There are no Gallery comments.

**IX. Senator Comments**

Executive Senator Kyla Johnson: I have been asked by Stacey Thomson to make the following announcement. As of February 27, she read the list of Senators who have not paid for their polos.

Senator Christopher Beyer: Senator Moss and I will be working on drafting legislation that deals with the issue brought forth tonight concerning officials that are arrested, where guilt is beyond reasonable doubt. It will concern mandatory sanctioning. We will be doing research on this during spring term. If any Senator wants to put forth information, please talk to us after tonight's Senate meeting.

Senator Julien Deveraux: In regards to the privacy policy, Admissions has now offered a second line on paperwork which will give the chance to opt out of security. To get more involved, please email me.

## **X. Adjourn**

Senator Jonathan Schmierer motioned the adjournment of this meeting and Senator Scott Cowgill seconded that motion. Tonight's Senate meeting adjourned at 9:06pm.