



Student Government Committee
84th Senate
9/24/2024 at 6:30 pm PST over Zoom:
[Zoom Link](#)

I. Roll Call/Quorum 6:30 pm

- a. Sen. Eckman
- b. Sen. Knox
- c. Sen. Hostetler-McLaughlin
- d. Sen. Pearson
- e. Sen. Rowe
- f. Dylan Perfect
- g. ASOSU Vice President Zach Kowash (Ex-Officio, non-quorum)
- h. ASOSU Records Clerk Eva Erickson (Ex-Officio, non-quorum)

II. Swearing In of Members/Proxies

III. Approval Of Agenda

IV. Gallery Comments

V. New Business

a. Investigation into Ethics Complaint & Improvement of Ethics & Compliance
Councilor Role

- Disappointed that counselor Carmichael could not be here.
- Some want to look into a formal rebuke of the actions, that provides a learning experience for what conduct and impartiality should be expected for the new ethics and compliance counselor. A possible formal censure was discussed.
- Disappointed that the election of the new ethics and compliance counselor took place privately with disregard for public meeting law. Also disappointed that JC has not responded to Addison's outreach offer to help them understand the rules of public meeting law despite their initial interest in such a meeting and continued difficulty abiding by it.
 - Emerson's personally does not recognize the election of the new officer as valid due to violation of statute.
 - The committee will remind the JC about public meeting law for elections in a email.
- Motion for Official Censure of Councilor Carmichael by Committee based on the following actions submitted by Counselor Paola that are in violation of the ASOSU code

of conduct, and statutes.

- Storming out of an ASOSU meeting in Late June shouting "This is garbage" after the confirmation of Judicial council nominees was tabled.
- In his official capacity as the (former) Judicial Council chair arguing, without providing reasoning and using incorrect logic (such as the claim that removing the records clerk and archivist is harder than removing a JC councilor despite the process being the exact same) that the senate MUST vote a certain way on legislation.
- Unprofessional language in official communications in his role as Ethics and Compliance Councilor (accusing individuals of "badgering" as one example and refusing to provide evidence to the accused)
- Entirely ignoring conduct reports against individuals he has relationships with.
- Dismissing conduct reports against individuals he has relationships with, claiming "lack of evidence" and then refusing to clarify when asked.
- Arguing every single conduct report MUST have an outcome, despite ignoring other conduct reports entirely (not even responding to the submission)
- Misleading new members of the Judicial Council and senate, arguing that JC policies take precedent over ASOSU statutes (despite the first page of said policies saying they DO NOT take precedence over the ASOSU statutes or Constitution)
- Misleading new members of the Judicial Council by claiming that it is up to the Judicial Council whether or not they wished to comply with the new meeting requirements in recent statute changes.
- Motioning to prevent gallery comments at meetings entirely
- Clocking in excess of the maximum allowable hours for his position in ASOSU Statute (Apparently with permission/encouragement from the former budget manager, but still in violation of statute)
- Members give reasons both for and against the censure.
 - Roll call vote:
 - Emerson- abstain
 - Teaghan- nay
 - Eliza- nay
 - Kieran-aye
 - Adison-aye
 - Dylan- ayeMotion passes 3-2 with one abstaining

b. ASOSU Title 6 Section 4 Paragraph D. Review of Implementation

- “The ASOSU President, Vice President, and ASOSU Faculty Advisor shall keep a record

of all changes made to the ASOSU Budget, and present an explanation and full account of their actions to the Senate or a committee thereof, during their first meeting in Fall Term. If the members believe that negligence, 21 misappropriation, or gross mismanagement of financial resources has occurred, they may independently pursue punitive actions, such as censure or disciplinary proceedings, and/or file a relevant complaint with the University.”

- There was a question from VP asking for clarification on whether the report is on changes made since the budget was okayed by the 83rd Senate (so changes we made over the summer) or changes made to the budget between FY24 and FY25, as in the difference between the budget for last year's administration and this one.
- Dylan has former experience delivering this report and is happy to provide former presentation materials for reference on what could be included.
- General consensus that the report should be given to the whole senate.
- What we want included:
 - Changes made since the budget was approved by the 83rd Senate, how things have been utilized compared to how they were allocated
 - Current spending on payroll vs previous years and the current burn rate of the payroll
 - Amount spent to date on each index
 - Any changes between FY24 and FY25 allocations and where the spending was at last year compared to this year
 - We would also prefer this to be a presentation that is done to the whole Senate, as we know that it says "to the Senate or a committee thereof" but believe that the whole Senate would be preferable as it would serve as a primer to help us better understand how the budgets function when it comes time for the fee setting process

VII. Gallery Comments

VIII. Member Comments

IX. Advisor Comments

X. Adjournment 8:17pm