

Standing Rules committee July 21st 10:00 am

1. Approved Camryn Lau as new member of standing rules
2. SB 84.07 - it's edits on the standing rules
  - a. Inconsistency with how many senators to non-senators
    - i. Related to the bill passed by chandler last year - must have 1 senator to every non senator
    - ii. Means would need 5+ senators, in addition to current committees
  - b. Under a current reading a non senator could be elected vice chair and serve on student government committee
  - c. Being a senate committee means that no bill is required to eliminate this standing committee - that is less binding than other committees (no veto override)
  - d. Is it the role of the ASOSU president to edit standing rules - Emerson
    - i. Senators reached out to president Schlotter about this bill - Zach
    - ii. Donovan was reached out to by Audrey - Emerson
  - e. Campus Community Liaison should be changed to Campus Cultural Liaison
  - f. Original bill would be a rolling committee to serve across years, this one could be ended on its own vote, member turnover during election cycle - Dylan
    - i. Results in less stakeholder involvement
  - g. Pay reasoning for veto does not line up with precedent - Dylan
  - h. Overall sentiment is that there are issues with this being a bill editing the standing rules, and there are some contradictions within the standing rules
3. Stand rules committee voted 5-0-1 in favor of not recommending this bill in this is current state due to technical issues/errors in standing rules, and also invite authors of the bill to come to next standing rules committee
4. Voted to adopt standard bylaws + ex officio members do not count towards or against Quorum
5. What do Abstains count as
  - a. Emerson finds in most rules abstains do not count against for for  $\frac{2}{3}$  majority
  - b. Eliza found in Democratic rules of order (on page 21) this is clarified
    - i. In this clarification abstains would not count against a  $\frac{2}{3}$  majority vote

6. Committee still voted (5--0-1) to clarify this in standing rules still
7. Dylan's finding on section 1 (and other sections)
  - a. Section 1:
  - b. Section 5:
    - i. "No Committees of the Senate shall have overlapping jurisdiction. In cases of overlapping authority, the committee which possessed jurisdiction first shall take precedence"
    - ii. Section I could let president serve in committee - probably shouldn't exercise binding authority
8. Emerson - section 2:
  - a. Chair unable to vote, issue when it is a senator (ie pro tempore)
    - i. The reason VP doesn't vote is not because they are chair, but because they are VP
    - ii. Chair getting to vote is akin to speaker of the house
  - b. Chair needing unanimous consent to step down to speak seems unnecessary
    - i. Prevents pro tempore from commenting if they so wish
    - ii. VP can choose to step down whenever - but still not outlined
      1. Zach hasn't stepped down because of this, but would recommend that  $\frac{2}{3}$  approval needed for pro tempore to choose a new chair
      2. Dylan thinks that similar rules that apply to the VP should apply to the pro tempore - less than  $\frac{2}{3}$ s
    - iii. Any decision by chair can be overruled by  $\frac{2}{3}$ s majority - check already built in, and should be able to exercise voice when needed
  - c. Rule 3d - personal interest must abstain from votes.
    - i. Change to conflict of interest - legal term
  - d. Rule 4
    - i. Contradictions in timeline for electing pro tempore
    - ii. Teahgan - Statues say different things than standing rules, when they are re-elected if they are etc
      1. Would be good to remedy
9. Zach - Rule 5

- h. Senate committees must have at least 3 ~~Senators~~ members, but do not have an upper capacity limit.
- a.

Rule V § C(j) (new subsection)

- j. Senators may vote by proxy in committee meetings. A member voting by proxy shall notify the committee chairperson and President of the Senate of who their proxy will be at least 15 minutes prior to the committee meeting. The committee chairperson shall administer the oath of office for a proxy each time they are present in a committee meeting. It is recommended Senators create written statements to be read by the proxy if the Senator intends to be participatory in any committee discussion.
- b.

10. Adison - rule 5-8

- a. Rule 5: Spell out office hours, replace tabling? Work with outreach committee
  - i. Used to be too stringent - Dylan
- b. Rule 6: Likes this rule, needs more clarifications to make it effective
  - i. Could any club have infinite speaking time under status quo?

11. Kieran - rules 8 and 9

- a. Rule 8: Delegates do get a time limit, unlike what Adison was worried about
- b. Need to make sure new time rules account for what is outlined here
- c. Rule 9: pro tempore doesn't get vote here, nor temp chair

12. Eliza 11 - 15

- a. Agenda needs unanimous consent which is weird
  - i. Following same agenda outline as dem rules of order
- b. To change special rules standing rules committee needs 2/3s vote

13. Voted 5-0-1 to add Dylan to document to suggest changes

14. Emerson setup document