I. Call to Order/Roll-Call/Quorum
   Quorum was met and the meeting was called to order at 7:09 p.m.

II. Swearing in of Representatives
   Alex Moty was sworn in as a proxy.

III. Singing of the OSU Alma Mater
   Representative Setzler: I move to forgo singing the Alma Mater.
   Representative Alvarez: Second
   Speaker Hatlen: Dissent
   Speaker Pro Tempore Dunn: Could the gallery please be quiet.
   Representative Setzler: We have so much to do tonight.
   Representative Vanderwall: The discussion we’re having right now will take longer than to sing it.
   Representative Robb: Call to question.
   A voice vote was taken. Division
   A hand vote was taken to forgo singing the OSU Alma Mater. The motion passed.
   Representative Struthers: I move to move the HSRC to the first order of new business.

IV. Approval of Minutes from Wednesday April 4, 2012
   Representative Robb: I move to approve the minutes.
   Representative Alvarez: Second
   A voice vote to approve the minutes was taken. Division
   A hand vote was taken. The motion passed.

V. Standing Committee Reports
   Representative Struthers: Ways and Means we met after the house meeting we had two items of business. One was the discussion of approval of HSRC and second we forwarded the DC travel-funding request on the basis we only have budget for what was left this year in the contingency. SIFC turned down the request.
   Representative Alvarez: They came today to us but they really weren’t sure what they were doing. Its not really the people who came’s fault they have money in their travel fund but were not requesting to use it, it wasn’t clear at all what they were asking for.
Representative Struthers: We won’t have a meeting tonight because Representative Van Bossuyt isn’t here and I’ll leave ten minutes to eight so no ways and means meeting tonight but we'll meet next week.

VI. Joint Committee Reports

Joint Committee of Congressional Correspondence

Representative Robb: Senate met yesterday they did not hear any bills but they realized there was a problem with the bill it was a prior draft but they did approve members into the judiciary council and they are very very qualified. Both are graduate students and have well one has actual legal experience and both actually do they’re both very qualified.

VII. Delegate Reports

None

VIII. Old Business

None

IX. New Business & First Readings

HR-03.07 “ASOSU/HSRC Separation”

Clerk Wolff:

WHEREAS The Human Services Resource Center (HSRC) is an office dedicated to providing support and resources to students dealing with issues of poverty, homelessness, and food insecurity on Oregon State University campus;

WHEREAS In 2010-2011 HSRC served 3300 students in the services office, and 1,294 clients (95% students) in the food pantry, providing $155,905.49 in direct student service, and $86,224 in student salaries;

WHEREAS The HSRC is the first and largest college or university Human Services office in the nation, providing assistance to campuses in starting programs of their own;

WHEREAS HSRC is student-run by the student staff and advisory board, with the guidance of the Human Services Resource Center Coordinator;

WHEREAS The HSRC has been challenged in meeting some students’ needs due to administrative and budgetary holdups from within the Associated Students of Oregon State University;

WHEREAS Each year that HSRC is in existence, the ASOSU members are less and less affiliated with HSRC, know less about its functioning, and are less likely to be able to supervise its operations effectively;

WHEREAS The HSRC staff function as human services workers separately from the rest of the staff at ASOSU, and maintain the HSRC office day-to-day without regular interaction with ASOSU Executives, Task Force Directors, Judicial, or Legislative branches;
WHEREAS The size and scope of HSRC’s public relations and budgetary needs are such that when ASOSU supports its work, this drains resources from campaigns, events, and other ASOSU responsibilities.

BE IT HEREBY RESOLVED BY THE ASOSU CONGRESS THAT:
The HSRC shall remain an entity of the ASOSU for the remainder of Fiscal Year (FY) 12 budget and shall remain a member during FY13 budget.

BE IT HEREBY FURTHER RESOLVED THAT:
Beginning in FY14 budget the Human Services Resource Center shall be removed from the ASOSU Budget so it can operate as its own entity, pending the approval of their separate budgeting board by the Student Incidental Fees Committee (SIFC). In the event that SIFC does not support the creation of a separate budgeting board for HSRC, HSRC shall remain an entity of ASOSU as outlined in paragraph 3.

BE IT HEREBY FURTHER RESOLVED THAT:
Starting June 1, 2012, the HSRC shall be an entity of the ASOSU, but shall not report directly to the ASOSU Executive Brach. The HSRC shall have full autonomy of their budget, staff hire/fire, and other operational aspects. The reporting line for the HSRC Coordinator will fall under the Office of the Dean of Student Life. The HSRC Coordinator shall provide a quarterly update to the ASOSU Ways and Means committee once per term, at the start of the term, during FY13 beginning Fall 2012. This shall include: a current budget, and updates on the progress of the HSRC/ASOSU budget separation.

BE IT HEREBY FURTHER RESOLVED THAT:
During FY13 the ASOSU Executive Branch shall work with the HSRC and Ways and Means committee on separating the budgets of the ASOSU and HSRC. The budget separation shall be ready for the FY14 budget approval cycle.

BE IT HEREBY FURTHER RESOLVED THAT:
This resolution shall be binding on current and future administrations, and shall only be overturned by an act of congress.

BE IT HEREBY FURTHER RESOLVED THAT:
This resolution shall go into effect immediately upon its final passage."

Representative Struthers: I’m going to talk and ask the coordinator of the HSRC to clear up your questions this resolution comes from back in winter term we were approached by the separation I don’t know the exact date but when the house had their meeting in STAG and pretty much this coming from the fact that HSRC was at a point where it’s too big for ASOSU. Their day-to-day operations require more staffing that they can provide. This is just the first of getting separated there were some problems with the executive not consulting with the HSRC staff there’s the
administrative overlap between the HSRC and its autonomy and executive overseeing the HSRC am I leaving anything out Claire?

Claire: No, but I’m happy to answer questions.

Representative Rosoff: What final effect will this have on student fees?

Representative Struthers: That’s a good question that’s a really hard question over the next year in HSRC and ways and means will look at the budget and see how much they need to take away from them. Anything HSRC uses, that’s within the ASOSU budget and we will have to figure out what they need to backfill. It’s hard to say.

Chris Van Drimmelen: The budget separations are something that happens. It will have a zero net effect and if it does have an effect it will be negligible and break into a budgeting board. Where ASOSU broke away from ed act ASOSU was a sub entity of that budget. Ed act took what was the ASOSU budget at the time and moved it under a new budgeting board. It would have hopefully a zero impact.

Representative Struthers: Some impacts that have been will HSRC need its own PR team. There are student position so it will be minimal.

Claire: Its in the best interest that the student fees don’t go up. We this year had almost no change to our student fees our budget request was that our budget increase with the percentage we were in enrollment increase we’re actually doing fundraising rather than using student fees.

Representative Alvarez: How big is the budget?

Claire: The HSRC budget is around $314,000 it represents not quite 1/3 of ASOSU’s budget. It’s very large within the scheme of HSRC. Within the executive I don’t know if the numbers are the entirety.

Chris Van Drimmelen: This isn’t the first program that ASOSU has incubated and spun off. Childcare and family resources that use to be an ASOSU entity and three years ago the final touches of spinning that off happened. The babies get too big for the nest and go operate on their own with involvement of ASOSU in partnership but it’s not taking leadership from ASOSU.

Representative Struthers: We’re at that point where HSRC is in existence for about three years now.

HR-03.05 “Campus Survey”

Clerk Wolff:

WHEREAS The Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) requires all covered entities to conduct a comprehensive evaluation of their facilities and develop a transition plan to bring said facilities into compliance with the ADA.

WHEREAS Oregon State University (OSU) is a covered entity under Title II of the ADA.
WHEREAS OSU has only partially complied with this requirement which consists of a survey of external areas of the university including paths of travel, ramps, and parking facilities.

WHEREAS OSU has not completed a comprehensive assessment of campus classroom buildings, residence halls, intercollegiate athletic facilities, etc.

WHEREAS HR-01.03, the Resolution to Request Oregon State University's Compliance with the Americans With Disabilities Act (Crews Act of January 2010) requested "that Facilities Services of [OSU] conduct a self-evaluation of campus facilities according to current ADA standards."

WHEREAS HR-01.03, the Crews act of January 2010 requested "that with the findings of the self-evaluation Facilities Services will complete a thorough transition plan for [OSU] to comply with ADA standards by June 30, 2011 that will include: cost projections, priorities, and funding strategies."

WHEREAS This has not occurred.

BE IT HEREBY RESOLVED BY THE ASOSU THAT:

The ASOSU request that the University complete a comprehensive self-evaluation and transition plan by December 31, 2012.

BE IT HEREBY FURTHER RESOLVED BY THE ASOSU THAT:

The University consult with the Able Student Alliance (ASA) on the matter of completing a comprehensive assessment and transition plan.

BE IT HEREBY FURTHER RESOLVED BY THE ASOSU THAT:

The University consult with the ASOSU on the matter of completing a comprehensive assessment and transition plan.

Representative Setzler: It says on the bill that RHA is sponsoring this but that is an error. They are not sponsoring anything tonight its written on several bills but they are not.

Speaker Pro Tempore Dunn: Ok, since Van Bossuyt is not here are there any questions that anyone wants me to direct to him? Or feels like they can answer or give light on?

Representative Stuart: I’m curious about what level the university thinks they have complied because I’m sure they feel differently. Over the summer. I’d be curious to hear from them. We’ve heard from Jeffery Evans but haven’t heard from the administration. It seems like they don’t want to talk to us.

Representative Setzler: I know it was previously brought up that members of ASOSU did try to meet with university people to talk about this and when the university, I don’t
know who it was, found out we wanted to record them they declined the meeting. Well, they did not want recordings.

Representative Stuart: That’s great that they had that reason but I’m not going to say that their point isn’t validated just because they did not want to be recorded. Maybe they had personal reasons. I still want to hear from them. Even if it’s a pre recorded, false email thing. I just want to hear something.

Speaker Pro Tempore Dunn: Does anyone else have anything to share?

HR-03.06 “Accessible Housing”

Clerk Wolff:

WHEREAS It is in the interest of all Oregonians for individuals with disabilities to gain academic training necessary to become productive members of our economy.

WHEREAS Currently there exists no housing on campus that complies with the requirements of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA).

WHEREAS Currently there exists no referral service for students with disabilities to quickly and efficiently identify ADA-compliant housing.

WHEREAS In order for a disabled student to find accessible housing, the student must contact rental property managers, ask if the rental property is ADA-compliant, and then visit and inspect the rental property to verify its compliance.

WHEREAS Verifying ADA compliance of rental properties in order to find housing consumes an excessive amount of time for disabled students that otherwise could be used for academic pursuits.

BE IT HEREBY RESOLVED BY THE ASOSU THAT:

The ASOSU requests that, by 1 May, 2012, University Housing and Dining Services (UHDS) create a referral service for students with disabilities that certifies ADA-compliant, accessible housing in the Linn-Benton Area.

BE IT HEREBY RESOLVED BY THE ASOSU THAT:

The ASOSU requests that UHDS Services work with the Able Student Alliance in the creation of said referral service.

BE IT HEREBY RESOLVED BY THE ASOSU THAT:

The ASOSU requests that UHDS work with the ASOSU in the creation of said referral service.

Speaker Pro Tempore Dunn: ONce again Van Bossuyt is not here to answer questions.
Representative Van Bossuyt (by proxy): I have a question for myself in the first whereas who should the final word be society instead of economy? I don’t believe it’s that we’re selling disabled people.

Speaker Pro Tempore Dunn: We’ll look into that.

Representative Setzler: I can answer any questions because I’ve been around this issue a little bit and talked to members of RHA and they’re interested in working on these with us.

Representative Stuart: How is it to ask for a referral service by the first of May? Is there anything in place to help with that. Is it just a mean thing we’re asking for? It’s like two weeks away.

Representative Struthers: We’re voting on this next week and then senate will vote on it. By the time it’s passed it’ll be May 1 or past May 1. This isn’t feasible.

Representative Setzler: I don’t think we should amend it yet because I can’t talk to people who wrote this bill.

Chris Van Drimmelen: Along with that it might be a good idea to talk with UHDS about the feasibility of this in the first place rather than coming to them with a demand and to figure out what that would take to gather information for the whereas statements UHDS might have a different take on some of them. And it might be a good idea to talk to UHDS before this passes. So you have a better idea of a timelines or things.

Representative Stuart: Do you think Jeffery Evans would have a problem with that?

Speaker Pro Tempore Dunn: Is that rhetorical because I’m not Jeffery Evans.

Representative Struthers: I move that we extend an invitation to UHDS to have someone from their staff here at the next meeting or their earliest convenience to discuss this with us.

Representative Van Bossuyt (by proxy): Second

Representative Struthers: We’re apart of the university and it’s a courtesy to the members of the committee.

Representative Alvarez: I’d like to not make it at your convenience. Maybe two weeks at your convenience.

Representative Struthers: I accept friendly amendment within two weeks.

Representative Setzler: Van Bossuyt isn’t here there is a proxy but wouldn’t it be rude to make an amendment when the sponsor isn’t here?

Representative Struthers: My motion was to invite someone to come here. I would amend it to have the speaker pro tempore send it.

Speaker Pro Tempore Dunn: Is that a motion to amend your motion?

Chris Van Drimmelen: If you state an amendment and Kevin asks for dissent and no one dissents, you’re all good.

Representative Struthers: It’s an amendment to my motion.
Speaker Pro Tempore Dunn: Is there dissent?
Chris Van Drimmelen: Before you vote on it, I would recommend Representative Struthers to state it so you know what you’re voting on.
Speaker Pro Tempore Dunn: I was going to do that.
Representative Brantley: Are there questions you would have for UHDS? Like how much it's going to cost for them to come?
Representative Struthers: My original motion was to have a discussion with them. So we could discuss how much it would cost to do that.
Representative Brantley: Are we just going to have this bill and have them come talk?
Representative Struthers: I prefer they have a copy of the bill before.
Speaker Pro Tempore Dunn: I'll contact them and send them a copy of the bill and see how feasible it is and anything else anyone wasn’t to add? I think they would like an heads-up before we ask them questions.
Representative Sandberg: Is this bill talking about campus housing or outside housing wouldn’t that be something the state has to do?
Representative Setzler: It's because the university is technically supposed to provide ADA compliant housing. All the resident halls aren’t compliant so all the student who want to come to Oregon State University are forced to look online for housing that is ADA approved and there isn’t a good referral system. And then they have to come to Corvallis to check out the place to make sure it’s compliant.
Representative Van Bossuyt [by proxy]: Point of Order. Are we discussing the motion or the bill?
Representative Alvarez: Call to question
Representative Robb: Could someone read the motion?
Representative Struthers: I move that we extend an invite to UHDS for them to have discussion with us on the resolution. The invitation be extended by the speaker pro tempore that they attend one of our meetings within the next two weeks.
Representative Rosoff: Call to question.
A voice vote on the motion was taken. The motion passed.
Representative Setzler: Because the university housing isn’t compliant its not a state program the university needs to find another way to house the students. That’s why it through the university.
Representative Stuart: Regardless of the university compliance in this situation it’s extremely traditional that if they can’t provide service they have alternatives.
Representative Robb: On this I would ask speaker pro-tempore that when you contact UHDS you ask them for their responses specifically to the whereas statements. And if this does go through a timeline from them.
Representative Struthers: Recommendation, I would contact Dan Larson he’s done a lot with UHDS and the university.

Representative Van Bossuyt (by proxy): Is this bill sponsored by the OSU RHA?

Representative Setzler: It’s not. None of the bills are sponsored by RHA tonight.

Representative Rosoff: Would you take my question earlier and put it in this part of the minutes?

Representative Rosoff: The language of the bill state it asks that UHDS is responsible for the committee and at the end that ASOSU ask that UHDS work with ASOSU. So I’m wondering what role ASOSU has and what role UHDS has in this whole new piece of work.

Representative Brantley: Is there a list of things that need to be fixed that would be passed on. I’ve worked with them and they aren’t compliant. I would suggest forwarding a couple examples.

Representative Setzler: They’ve been told they are not in compliance. But I can.

Representative Stuart: Wasn’t that part of the ADA officer coming? Does perhaps does UHDA thought that they’re compliant about though OSU has not hired a certifier.

Chris Van Drimmelen: I’d like to raise a point of order, questions are only germane to the bill not about general OSU.

Representative Van Bossuyt (by proxy): It does fall within the whereas statements.

Chris Van Drimmelen: That’s up to the chair I’m raising my statement.

HR-03.08 “Intercollegiate Wheelchair Basketball Program”

Clerk Wolff:
WHEREAS Oregon state University is required to comply with section 504 of the national rehabilitation act of 1973;

WHEREAS Oregon state University is required to comply with the Americans with disabilities act;

WHEREAS Oregon State University competes on an intercollegiate level in men’s basketball;

WHEREAS Oregon State University competes on an intercollegiate level in women’s basketball;

WHEREAS Oregon State University has no intercollegiate men’s wheelchair basketball team;

WHEREAS Oregon State University has no intercollegiate women’s wheelchair basketball team;

WHEREAS Oregon State University does not permit wheelchair basketball players to play on its intercollegiate basketball teams;
WHEREAS Oregon State University is required by the Americans with Disabilities Act to provide opportunities for athletes with disabilities to compete on an equal footing with athletes without disabilities;
WHEREAS Oregon Administrative Rules 580-015-0080 (1)(a) recognizes sports for persons with disabilities;
WHEREAS the 35th National Intercollegiate Wheelchair Basketball Tournament ended on 10 March 2012 and included men’s and women’s teams from the University of Illinois, the University of Missouri, the University of Wisconsin-Whitewater, Edinboro University, the University of Alabama, Southwest Minnesota State University, University of Texas – Arlington, and the University of Missouri;

BE IT HEREBY RESOLVED BY THE ASOSU:
that the ASOSU requests that the Oregon State University Athletics Department establish men’s and women’s intercollegiate wheelchair basketball teams;

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED:
that the ASOSU requests the Oregon State University Athletics Department provide coaching and facilities comparable to non-wheelchair teams competing on behalf of OSU including but not limited to the offering of athletic scholarships;

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED:
that the ASOSU requests the Oregon State University Athletics Department to develop a plan to accomplish this and present it to the ASOSU Congress by 30 September 2012.

Representative Setzler: I should be listed as a main sponsor as the bill. Basically we’re requesting that wheelchair basketball be created and be given the same abilities as the current basketball team. They’re given coaches, spaces, all that.
Representative Sandberg: Do you have a team already?
Representative Setzler: No.
Representative Sandberg: How do you know people want to play.
Representative Setzler: It’s been requested by ASA.
Representative Sandberg: My worry is that you spend that money and only have three people that want to play.
Representative Setzler: I can ask about that but I don’t think they can play at Dixon.
Chris Van Drimmelen: There’s an intermural team. It’s just not intercollegiate.
Representative Alvarez: Have you talked to the athletic department at all?
Representative Setzler: We’ve tried and we’re going to set up a meeting to see how we can have this happen. Ed Ray is going to take this with him to create an NCAA program team.

Representative Alvarez: Can you report back to us how that went?

Representative Setzler: Yes.

Representative Van Bossuyt [by proxy]: Is there a reason you’re not listed as a sponsor on this bill?

Representative Setzler: There was a different version of the bill that had my name on it.

Representative Head: University of Missouri is repeated twice.

Representative Rosoff: There’s a men’s and women’s final four so the university was probably in both.

Representative Robb: I move to have a copy of this resolution be sent to the athletics dept to get an official statement from the athletic department about this.

Representative Alvarez: Second.

Representative Robb: I feel that since it does have to do with the department their input is very important in our decision.

Representative Setzler: Can I make a friendly amendment that my contact information be added because I’ve worked on this and I would like to talk to them. So ASOSU and the athletic department is on the same page.

Representative Alvarez: Would it be redundant to have this resolution sent and then have a meeting?

Representative Robb: Both would be good no matter what they would have this resolution in its current form that would be helpful.

Representative Setzler: Are you accepting that amendment?

Representative Robb: Sure.

Chris Van Drimmelen: As a point of parliamentary procedure, it doesn’t matter if they accept the body needs to accept.

Speaker Pro Tempore Dunn: Is there dissent?

Representative Vanderwall: Can I hear the amendment to begin with.

Representative Setzler: It was a friendly amendment to have my contact information added.

Representative Alvarez: Point of Order. What’s the difference between a friendly amendment and an amendment?

Chris Van Drimmelen: Friendly amendments don’t actually exist.

Representative Alvarez: You tell us now.

Chris Van Drimmelen: I’ve been telling you all year.
Representative Robb: The motion is to send copy of the resolution to the athletic department to give them an official statement on the resolution and this email will include the contact information of Terra Setzler.

A voice vote was taken. The motion passed.

Representative Setzler: Do we currently have quorum?

Speaker Pro Tempore Dunn: Yes.

Representative Alvarez: We do have ten.

HR-03.09 “Parking Equality Act”

Clerk Wolff:

WHEREAS Oregon state University is required to comply with section 504 of the national rehabilitation act of 1973;

WHEREAS Oregon state University is required to comply with the Americans with disabilities act;

WHEREAS much of Oregon State University is inaccessible to individuals with mobility impairments;

WHEREAS many buildings on the Oregon State university campus are only accessible to students with mobility impairments by way of automobile;

WHEREAS the Oregon state University shuttle services does not serve the entire campus;

WHEREAS the Oregon State University shuttle service uses shuttle stops that are not compliant with the requirements of the Americans with Disabilities Act;

WHEREAS there are approximately 9300 parking spaces available to students of the University who do not have mobility impairments;

WHEREAS fewer than thirty parking spaces reserved for persons with disabilities comply with the requirements of the Americans with Disabilities Act;

WHEREAS the University charges students $180 per year for on campus parking privileges;

WHEREAS students without mobility impairments pay approximately 1.94 cents per available parking space per year for on campus parking privileges;
WHEREAS students with mobility impairments pay approximately $6.00 per available parking space per year for on campus parking privileges;

WHEREAS on campus parking costs students with mobility impairments approximately 307 times more to park than students, faculty and staff without mobility impairments;

WHEREAS Oregon State University has failed to provide sufficient parking for students with mobility impairments;

BE IT HEREBY RESOLVED BY THE ASOSU:
The University must provide more parking for students with mobility impairments;

BE IT RESOLVED THAT:
Until such time that the University provides more equitable parking opportunities ASOSU believes that the University should provide free parking for students with mobility impairments.

Representative Robb: I was wondering this is directing the university if this would be under TAPS?
Chris Van Drimmelen: Yes.
Representative Alvarez: How was the $1.04 calculated?
Representative Van Bossuyt (by proxy): It’s like 2 cents per space per student. How does the number of disabled students compare to non disabled students? The relative cost per student would be the same if there are substantially more.
Representative Setzler: This bill states it hard for people with disabilities to get around campus so this may be their only option they have a greater need.
Representative Van Bossuyt (by proxy): How is providing parking would help them get around campus?
Representative Setzler: Because we could make it a discount but they’re paying a large amount more than other students and because it may be the only way of getting around campus. It’s not fair to say welcome to our university good luck getting to class.
Representative Stuart: It’s a faulty service. It doesn’t reach all parts of campus its not fair to charge them anything for a service that isn’t compliant. It simultaneously encourages the university to fix it.
Representative Robb: Has there been an official statement from TAPS on this issue?
Representative Setzler: I don’t think they’ve been contacted about this resolution.
Representative Robb: You’re going to hate me. I move to send…

Representative Setzler: I will do it without a motion ok. Lets by pass that, I will send this to them I will talk to them.

Representative Brantley: I have a question. Does the 9300 include Reser parking because I’m sure there are about 30 parking spots in there alone.

Representative Setzler: I believe that by the wording there are not only 30 its that some of the parking spaces are not ADA compliant.

Representative Van Bossuyt [by proxy]: What is an ADA compliant parking space?

Representative Setzler: The Americans Disability Act is really long but I can tell you there are a lot of things that you wouldn’t really think about that need to be done so people can get around. I can’t explain every single one.

Chris Van Drimmelen: So as a couple of examples some require not just that its marked but that there are certain requirements about how much slope there can be. If you’re getting out of your car in a wheel chair you don’t want to slide. Also, certain spots need to have certain clearance from other spots. If you need a wheel chair lift to use that lift, you’re sandwiched between two cars you can’t get out of your vehicle.

Representative Phou: Where did the number of spots come from? Was there an official survey done?

Representative Setzler: I can find out there are people who worked on this bill who are trained in ADA stuff and so I would guess I would ask them.

HR-03.10 “Accessible Communications”

Clerk Wolff:

WHEREAS Oregon State University is required to comply with Sections 504 and 508 of the National Rehabilitation Act of 1973;

WHEREAS Oregon State University is required to comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act;

WHEREAS sections 504 and 508 of the National Rehabilitation Act requires documents of covered entities to be readable by computers and other text readers;

WHEREAS the Americans with Disabilities Act requires documents of covered entities to be readable by computers and other text readers;

WHEREAS the Americans with Disabilities Act requires websites of covered entities to comply with accessibility standards established by Section 508 of the National Rehabilitation Act;
WHEREAS persons low vision related disabilities require the use of computers and other text readers to assist them to read documents;

WHEREAS persons with vision related disabilities are unable to enjoy websites that are not constructed in compliance with Section 508 standards;

WHEREAS ASOSU does not format documents in a manner that facilitates their ability to be read by computers or text readers;

WHEREAS the ASOSU website does not comply with Section 508 standards;

WHEREAS ASOSU is committed to the participation of all students regardless of race, ethnicity, religion, sexual orientation, veteran status, gender, and disability;

BE IT HEREBY RESOLVED BY THE ASOSU:
That beginning June 1, 2012, the ASOSU Executive shall prepare documents in a format that complies with the requirements of the Americans with Disabilities Act;

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED:
that the ASOSU executive shall establish a plan for conversion of existing documents into formats that comply with the requirements of the Americans with Disabilities Act to be completed by May 31, 2013;

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED:
That the ASOSU executive redesign the ASOSU website to comply with Section 508 standards by September 24, 2012.

Representative Setzler: I’m not the primary sponsor. Davis is.

Tracy Bentley-Townlin: There is a technology accessibility requirement on the website about following guidelines about access. You might want to look online about what those requirements are. We’re moving the requirement is that we do more than just 508 I would hate for us to held to just 508 when there are better standards out there.

Representative Davis: From what I’ve talked about Jeffery Evans is that even if there it should be binding words in the contract it should be 508.

Tracy: We have quite a bit of access what the website the screen readers they have screen readers in the library. The new policy requires individual department
developing website need to make the accessible from the get go. And the template of the university follows has a requirement for ADA access with screen readers a swell. Chris Van Drimmelen: Clarification from section 508.gov requires the federal agencies with disabilities not state agencies like OSU.

Speaker Pro Tempore Dunn: Thank you for the clarification.

Representative Stuart: The main point of this bill is to get ASOSU specifically to redo their website and make weekly agenda and website that should be put on the website period and be formatted. What Jeffery is talking about its an auto converter we would just have to do it.

Tracy: How ASOUS develops the website would be it’s not accessible. I would definitely encourage that but we do have a policy but don’t go back to 508.

Representative Stuart: Who authored this?

Representative Setzler: Jeffery Evans.

Representative Van Bossuyt [by proxy]: Is that suppose to be on the bill?

Speaker Pro Tempore Dunn: Do resolutions need an author from the house?

Chris Van Drimmelen: Is there not one? It needs to have a least one sponsor and if it has one sponsor that sponsor might be faulty.

Speaker Pro Tempore Dunn: Thank you Mr. Davis.

Representative Stuart: Do I need to move it if I would like the author rewrite this? To exclude the 508?

Chris Van Drimmelen: Right now we’re in questions.

Speaker Pro Tempore Dunn: That should be done next week when we discuss.

Representative Stuart: Do we have any idea who would pay for this who would pay to convert the computers? Can I ask the author to rewrite it?

Speaker Pro Tempore Dunn: If they pass a motion to have the author to change this it needs to be a whole new resolution. You could have it changed next week and pass it next week. ASOSU would pay for it and they have a web designer on staff it wouldn’t cost any more money unless we need to purchase a software.

Chris Van Drimmelen: It shouldn’t have any cost associated.

HB-03.02 “Proxy Responsibility Bill”

Clerk Wolff:

WHEREAS Each representative is allowed by the statutes and standing rules to send a proxy.

WHEREAS Representatives are elected by the students for their opinion based on their ability to represent the interests of the students.

WHEREAS Proxies currently are not required to know those they represent or to represent their opinion and ideas.
WHEREAS Proxies may not be able to understand or make educate decisions on what is being discussed based on the information they have.
WHEREAS Proxies are not elected members and so they need to re affirm their commitment each time they act in the body.
WHEREAS The issues dealt with in meetings are important and those making the decisions should be well educated on the issues and what they mean.
WHEREAS Proxies may not understand the way the system works or what all is going on from just a short brief by representatives.

BE IT HEREBY ENACTED BY THE 3rd ASOSU HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES THAT:

Standing rule II Part A Section 1 now read
Proxies must have a written and signed agreement with the representative they are taking the place of. A form with a basic agreement and area for the representative to say what their votes or opinions on the issues of the meeting will be available through the SLI desk and the ASOSU offices. This form is attached to this bill as appendix A and will be attached to the standing rules as an appendix.

BE IT HEREBY ENACTED BY THE 3rd ASOSU HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES THAT:

Standing rule II Part A Section 1 subsection A now read
Proxies will not be required to take the oath after the first time they proxy, however they will need to reaffirm their oath. They will be given a copy of the oath taken for reminder. They will be asked “do you reaffirm the oath previously made in this body and to the students?”

BE IT HEREBY ENACTED BY THE 3rd ASOSU HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES THAT:

Standing rule II Part A Section 1 subsection B now read
Proxies being sworn in for the first time will be given a written copy of the oath they are taking.

BE IT HEREBY ENACTED BY THE 3rd ASOSU HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES THAT:

Standing rule II Part 4 now read
Representatives sending a proxy must notify the Speaker of the House no later than 15 minutes before the start of any meeting.
BE IT HEREBY ENACTED BY THE 3rd ASOSU HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES THAT:

Standing rule XIII part A now read
Quorum is half plus one of the body.
BE IT HEREBY ENACTED BY THE 3rd ASOSU HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES THAT:

Standing rule XIII part A section 1 now read
Quorum cannot be met if half the body is composed of proxies.”

Speaker Pro Tempore Dunn: If no one dissents we can let it slide that was not attached?
Representative Setzler: I can explain what the appendix is it’s a form you sign and you can have the representative’s signature the proxy signature it needs to be given to the speaker or pro tempore before the meeting. It basically says the proxy understands your opinions and values and that they are there to represent you and you’re elected it makes notes that you want the proxy to know.
Representative Van Bossuyt (by proxy): The last enacted portion, where it says quorum cannot be met. Does that mean half the present body or half of the full body?
Representative Setzler: It means that say you need 10 people if 5 are proxies you can have met quorum.
Representative Van Bossuyt (by proxy): Would it make more sense if it says half of the present body is composed of proxies?
Representative Setzler: Sure.
Representative Robb: In the fifth whereas they need to be reaffirmed each time they act where did that come from or is that implied?
Representative Setzler: The point is to each time a proxy works in our body they don’t need to give the full oath but they need to because they’re not elected they need to reaffirm that.
Representative Stuart: Would you be on behalf of the author on this to provide some sort of leniency with the first be it hereby enacted? I see problems if someone is sick or something happens and they are suddenly not available.
Representative Setzler: The reason I wrote that is because at a meeting we had earlier people were having a phone conversation with someone they had never met before and the reason is the signed document its making a contract this is the way they do it in the federal and state congress. If you’re sick you don’t have to have the appendix signed and the proxy signs it saying you are my proxy.
Representative Stuart: I see a problem. Would you be willing to add some leniency to that?
Representative Setzler: How would you think of wording it?
Representative Robb: Could this agreement be written on a cockatiel napkin?
Representative Setzler: Technically yes, if you so choose.
Chris Van Drimmelen: The way I read the language this is written there would be some leeway on the part of the chair of the body as to what they would accept since its not 100% that it just be the proxy form attached to the bill there would be some leeway but it would depend on what the chair is willing to accept. If that’s what you’re going for good.
Representative Setzler: The reason I made a form so that every time someone wants to have a proxy they don’t have to write out a thing the wording of the bill is so as long as there was signed agreement it doesn’t matter the form.
Representative Alvarez: That first hereby that form just says that you are authorized to be my proxy?
Representative Setzler: Uh, yeah. It says as a proxy you’re representing the person you’re proxying for and that’s because people here are elected by ASOSU and they want us to represent them your proxy was not elected and your proxy should represent you while you’re here.
Avl But the proxy could vote what they want.
Representative Setzler: There’s a part on the agreement where you can write what they wan.
Representative Alvarez: There’s no ground just an attachment.
Representative Setzler: Then you’ve got a really proxy. Why would you do that?
Representative Rosoff: I move for the last word from Miss Setzler to be stricken from the minutes.
Representative Robb: Second.
Representative Robb: Call to question.
Speaker Pro Tempore Dunn: Is there any dissent?
Speaker Pro Tempore Dunn: Alright it will be stricken.

X. Other New Business
None

XI. Speaker’s Announcements
Speaker Pro Tempore Dunn: All I have to announce is the primary start tonight at 10 p.m. and end Friday evening I encourage you all to vote for whomever you think would best fit the position and that’s all I have to say.
Representative Sandberg: Who’s running for speaker?
Representative Setzler: Deedon, Vandeever, Neiss.

XII. House Comments
Representative Head: We could’ve saved a lot of time tonight by contacting the appropriate departments so maybe before we submit big documents, take some charge on our own yeah. That’s my comment.
Representative Sandberg: Touché.
Representative Alvarez: I got a question because we’re required to do events. Would any of you have time tomorrow to do tabling or clip boarding for voter registration campaign? It would get rid of one of your events.
Speaker Pro Tempore Dunn: If you do please talk to him after the meeting.
Representative Alvarez: It’s your going and getting involved with people.
Representative Setzler: We need volunteers be nice.
Representative Vanderwall: I would like to remind everyone that the to vote at 10 for the primaries to vote.
Speaker Pro Tempore Dunn: Thank you Mr. Vanderwall.
Representative Sandberg: The Centro Cultural Cesar Chavez is having an event this month if you want to do their events.
Representative Head: India night and Korea night are coming up too.

XIII. Gallery Comments
Tracy: I apologize for not speaking up earlier for the formality in keeping with them going back to the resolution and talking to someone for the first one that accessibility I would recommend you go back and talk to Angelo Gomez or in terms of what the university is doing in response to the actual assessment being done by external companies.
Representative Alvarez: What’s your name?
Tracy: Tracy Bentley-Townlin.
Speaker Pro Tempore Dunn: Seeing no one else in the gallery is there a motion to adjourn?

XIV. Adjournment
Representative Phou: I move to adjourn.
Representative Vanderwall: Second
Acclamation occurred and the meeting adjourned at 8:33 p.m.